

# ITP Appendix 4

## Evaluation Criteria

<b>DPS Reference No:</b>	LCC41411
<b>DPS Title:</b>	Cleaning & Facilities Services



## Evaluation Overview

Under a Dynamic Purchasing System two separate evaluations are conducted before Contracts can be awarded:

### Stage 1 - Application Stage Evaluation: Selection Questionnaire Criteria –

Acceptance/rejection of Applicants based on business standing, financial standing, technical and professional ability.

In these procurement documents the term "Self-cleaning" is used. This term arises out of Regulation 57(13) of The Public Contracts Regulations 2015. Self-cleaning allows Applicants to provide evidence that any breaches that may have occurred in the grounds for exclusion outlined in this document have now been rectified and measures have put in place to ensure compliance. The Authority reserves the right to consider the measures taken and deem whether the remedial action taken is sufficient and whether the Authority requires the submission of satisfactory evidence by the Applicant prior to it being admitted onto the DPS.

Evaluation Criteria	Section Numbers	Document	Explanation For Allocation Of Weighting	Criteria Weighting
Application Stage	1 – 7	Selection Questionnaire	Must pass all questions to be admitted to the DPS	Pass / Fail

Where appropriate, the Authority will request evidence of the self-cleaning measures implemented following the evaluation process before admitting an Applicant onto the DPS.

If an Applicant fails any of the Application Criteria, or fails to provide information requested by the Authority, it will not be admitted onto the DPS. However, the Applicant is able to complete and submit a new Application for evaluation at any time during its term.

### Stage 2 - Award Stage Evaluation: Award of Contracts

This stage is commonly referred to as the 'mini-competition' stage where eligible Providers are invited to participate in an Invitation to Tender (ITT) to bid for Services as and when the Authority has a requirement. The Award Criteria that will be used to evaluate each ITT is included in the Award Stage Evaluation section of this document.

### Evaluation Methodology

The interpretations of the scoring methodology which will be used to evaluate both Stage 1 - Application Stage (Applicants wanting to join the DPS) and Stage 2 - Award Stage (award of Contracts) are given below.



## Stage 1 - Application Stage Evaluation: Selection Questionnaire Criteria

### Part 1: Potential supplier Information

<b>Section 1 - Potential supplier information (1.1), Bidding model (1.2, 1.3, 1.4)</b>	
<b>1.1 (a) – (f)</b>	
PASS	All information has been provided for all questions.
FAIL	Information requested not provided for all questions.
<b>1.1 (g)-(i)</b>	
PASS	A response of 'Yes'; or a response of N/A if not applicable.
FAIL	A response of 'No'.
<b>1.1 (g)-(ii)</b>	
PASS	If response to 1.1 (g)-(i) is 'Yes' all requested information has been provided.
FAIL	If response to 1.1 (g)-(i) is 'Yes' but no response provided to 1.1 (g)-(ii).
<b>1.1 (h)-(i)</b>	
PASS	A response of 'Yes' or 'No' is provided (if applicable); OR no response as the question is not applicable as the procurement is not for services.
FAIL	A failure to provide a response to 1.1 (h)-(i) where appropriate.
<b>1.1 (h)-(ii)</b>	
PASS	If response to 1.1 (h)-(i) is 'Yes', the requested information and confirmation of compliance has been provided.
FAIL	If response to 1.1 (h)-(i) is 'Yes' but no confirmation of compliance is given in response to 1.1 (h)-(ii).
<b>1.1 (i) – (m)</b>	
PASS	All information has been provided for all questions, where applicable.
FAIL	Information requested not provided for all questions, where applicable.
<b>1.2</b>	
PASS	Applicant has responded that they are bidding as a single supplier; OR Applicant has responded that they are bidding as part of a group or consortium and all information is provided for 1.2 (a-e).
FAIL	No response provided; OR if bidding as part of a group or consortium, all applicable information requested in 1.2 (a-e) is not provided.
<b>1.3</b>	
PASS	All requested information for sub-contractors/supply chain has been provided, if applicable.
FAIL	Where applicable, the information requested for sub-contractors/supply chain is not provided.
<b>1.4</b>	
PASS	The Category(s) bid for are detailed.



FAIL	Where applicable, no response provided to confirm the category(s) bid for.
------	--

## Part 2: Exclusion Grounds

<b>Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion (2.1)</b>	
See Annex D of the questionnaire for guidance	
<b>2.1(a)</b>	
For the questions within 2.1(a) you must self-certify whether any of the grounds for mandatory exclusion apply, as specified by the questions.  The detailed grounds for mandatory exclusion of an organisation are set out in Annex D of the Selection Criteria Questionnaire, which should be referred to before completing these questions.	
PASS	Responses of 'No' to each question; OR a response of 'Yes' to one or more questions (accompanied by 'No' for the remainder of the questions) and the required information is provided in question 2.1(b), and appropriate evidence of self-cleaning for each response of 'Yes' is provided within 2.1(c).  (See section on 'Self-cleaning' below for guidance and instructions on this).
FAIL	The Authority is entitled to exclude Applicants from this procurement if any of the mandatory grounds for exclusion apply, as indicated by an Applicant responding 'Yes' to a question, and/or the Authority has other external evidence. However, the Authority will consider all the relevant circumstances, and will at its discretion allow an Applicant to score a PASS and proceed if it provides evidence of 'Self-cleaning' to the Authority's satisfaction.
<b>2.1(b)</b>	
PASS	A response of 'Yes' to one or more of the offences listed in 2.1(a) and further details as requested provided; OR no response provided as not applicable.
FAIL	A response of 'Yes' to one or more of the offences listed in 2.1(a) and further details as requested not provided.
<b>2.1(c)</b>	
PASS	Responses of 'No' to all offences listed in 2.1(a); OR a response of 'Yes' and sufficient evidence of self-cleaning is provided to the satisfaction of the Authority (see full details within section on 'Self-cleaning' below).
FAIL	If any of the mandatory grounds for rejection apply and the Applicant does not provide sufficient evidence of 'Self-cleaning', then the Authority will score this section of the Applicant's application as a FAIL.  If an Applicant is scored a FAIL for this section, then this will result in the Applicant's elimination from the evaluation process and the Authority will not proceed with the scoring of the Applicant's submission.  (See section on 'Self-cleaning' below).
<b>Section 3 - Mandatory and discretionary grounds relating to the payment of taxes and social security contributions (3.1 – 3.2)</b>	
<b>3.1(a)</b>	
PASS	Response of 'Yes' and information requested provided, if applicable; OR a response of 'No' and sufficient evidence of self-cleaning is provided to the



	satisfaction of the Authority (see full details within section on 'Self-cleaning' below).
FAIL	The Authority is entitled to exclude Applicants from this procurement if any of the grounds for exclusion apply, as indicated by an Applicant responding 'No' to the question, and/or the Authority has other external evidence. However, the Authority will consider all the relevant circumstances, and will at its discretion allow an Applicant to score a PASS and proceed if it provides evidence of 'Self-cleaning' to the Authority's satisfaction.
<b>3.1(b)</b>	
PASS	If the response to question 3.1(a) is 'No' and information requested is provided; OR no response required due to a response of 'Yes' to question 3.1(a).
FAIL	If the response to question 3.1(a) is 'No' and information requested is not provided.
<b>3.2</b>	
PASS	If the response to question 3.1(a) is 'No' and a response of 'Yes' is provided.
FAIL	The Authority is entitled to exclude Applicants from this procurement if any of the grounds for exclusion apply, as indicated by an Applicant responding 'No' to the question, and/or the Authority has other external evidence. However, the Authority will consider all the relevant circumstances, and will at its discretion allow an Applicant to score a PASS and proceed if it provides evidence of 'Self-cleaning' to the Authority's satisfaction.

#### **Section 4 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion (4.1 – 4.3)**

##### **4.1 (a) - (i) and 4.1(j)-(i) - 4.1(j)-(iv)**

You must self-certify whether any of the grounds for discretionary exclusion apply, as specified by the questions.

PASS	Responses of 'No' to each question; OR a response of a 'Yes' to one or more questions but appropriate evidence of self-cleaning is provided within 4.3 (see section on 'Self-cleaning' below for guidance and instructions on this).
FAIL	The Authority is entitled to exclude Applicants from this procurement if any of the discretionary grounds for exclusion apply, as indicated by an Applicant responding 'Yes' to a question, and/or the Authority has other external evidence. However, the Authority will consider all the relevant circumstances, and will at its discretion allow an Applicant to score a PASS and proceed if it provides evidence of 'Self-cleaning' to the Authority's satisfaction.
<b>4.2</b>	
PASS	If the Potential supplier is a relevant commercial organisation subject to Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015, a response of 'Yes' to both confirmation statements; OR a response of a 'No' to one or more confirmation statements but appropriate evidence of self-cleaning is provided within 4.3 (see section on 'Self-cleaning' below for guidance and instructions on this); OR no response as the Applicant is not a relevant commercial organisation subject to Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015.
FAIL	The Authority is entitled to exclude Applicants from this procurement if any of the discretionary grounds for exclusion apply, as indicated by an Applicant responding 'No' to one or more of the confirmation statements, and/or the



	Authority has other external evidence. However, the Authority will consider all the relevant circumstances, and will at its discretion allow an Applicant to score a PASS and proceed if it provides evidence of 'Self-cleaning' to the Authority's satisfaction.
<b>4.3</b>	
PASS	No response required due to responses of 'No' to all situations and statements listed in 4.1 and responses of 'Yes' to the confirmations in 4.2 (where applicable); OR a response of 'Yes' to one or more of the situations and/or statements listed in 4.1 and/or a response of 'No' to either confirmation in 4.2 (if applicable) and sufficient evidence of self-cleaning is provided in 4.3 to the satisfaction of the Authority (see full details within section on 'Self-cleaning' below).
FAIL	<p>If any of the grounds for rejection apply in 4.1 and 4.2 and the Applicant does not provide sufficient evidence of 'Self-cleaning' in 4.3, then the Authority will score this section of the Applicant's application as a FAIL.</p> <p>If an Applicant is scored a FAIL for this section, then this will result in the Applicant's elimination from the evaluation process and the Authority will not proceed with the scoring of the Applicant's submission.</p> <p>(See section on 'Self-cleaning' below).</p>

### Self-cleaning: important instructions

**Self-cleaning:** If an Applicant responds 'Yes' to any of the questions within 2.1(a) or 4.1, or 'No' to any of the questions within 3.1(a), 3.2, and 4.2 (if applicable), the Authority may request further evidence of self-cleaning at any time prior to award.

In order for the evidence referred to above to be sufficient, the Applicant shall, as a minimum, prove that it has:

- Paid or undertaken to pay compensation in respect of any damage caused by the criminal offence or misconduct;
- clarified the facts and circumstances in a comprehensive manner by actively collaborating with the investigating authorities; and
- taken concrete technical, organisational and personnel measures that are appropriate to prevent further criminal offences or misconduct.

The measures taken by the Applicant shall be evaluated taking into account the gravity and particular circumstances of the criminal offence or misconduct. Where the measures are considered by the Authority to be insufficient, the Applicant shall be given a statement of the reasons for that decision, and the question(s) shall be scored as a FAIL.

The Authority can use its discretion as to whether the Applicant may be awarded a PASS, provided the Applicant can demonstrate remedial action to the Authority's satisfaction.

If an Applicant answers 'Yes' to any of the questions within 2.1(a) or 4.1 or 'No' to any of the questions within 3.1(a), 3.2, and 4.2 (if applicable) and subsequently **does not provide any evidence that is requested** of Self-cleaning the Applicant will fail that question and be excluded from the process.



Self-cleaning is not applicable to discretionary exclusion grounds which are procurement-specific and which do not arise from Applicant misdeeds (“conflict of interest” and “distortion of competition from prior involvement”).

### Part 3: Selection Questions

Section 5 – Economic and Financial Standing (5.1 – 5.5)							
5.1-5.3(a-b)							
PASS	The Applicant can provide the requested details to one of the questions 5.1, 5.2, 5.3(a) or 5.3(b).						
FAIL	The Authority may fail an Applicant if they cannot provide the requested details for at least one of the questions 5.1, 5.2, 5.3(a), or 5.3(b).						
5.4							
PASS	<p>The specified minimum level of economic and financial standing for this procurement is set out below:</p> <p><i>If both of the following criteria are achieved, the Applicant will achieve a PASS and should select 'Yes' within the Selection Criteria Questionnaire.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A result of 0.7 or above for the current ratio; and</li> <li>• A result of 1 or below for the debt ratio.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>To calculate the financial ratios:</u></b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">FINANCIAL INFORMATION – evaluation method</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Current ratio</td> <td>Current assets divided by current liabilities</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Debt ratio</td> <td>Total debt divided by total assets</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The Authority reserves the right to carry out further financial stability evaluation if it deems it necessary, which may involve seeking further information such as details of your accounting model or information concerning any underwritten debt.</p> <p>At the sole discretion of the Authority, the further information provided may be taken into account to assess whether your organisation will PASS the question.</p>	FINANCIAL INFORMATION – evaluation method		Current ratio	Current assets divided by current liabilities	Debt ratio	Total debt divided by total assets
FINANCIAL INFORMATION – evaluation method							
Current ratio	Current assets divided by current liabilities						
Debt ratio	Total debt divided by total assets						
FAIL	<p>If an Applicant selects 'No' for Question 5.4 as they did not satisfy both of the above criteria they may FAIL that question in which case the Authority will not proceed with the scoring of their tender.</p> <p>Applicants may be required to confirm the following information during evaluation stage when requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current assets</li> <li>• Current liabilities</li> <li>• Total debt</li> <li>• Total assets</li> </ul>						





	<p>The Authority will use the above information to calculate the ratios. If an Applicant is found not to satisfy both the above criteria they may fail question 5.4 and be excluded from the procurement.</p> <p>The Authority reserves the right to carry out further financial stability evaluation if it deems it necessary, which may involve seeking further information such as details of your accounting model or information concerning any underwritten debt.</p> <p>At the sole discretion of the Authority, the further information provided may be taken into account to assess whether your organisation will PASS the question.</p> <p>The Authority reserves the right to use a third party provider of business information. This may be to validate the financial information provided by the Applicant, to identify areas of concern, and/or obtain further information where required.</p>
<b>5.5</b>	
PASS	Where the Applicant is relying on another member of the bidding group/consortium or any subcontractors or other security in order to meet the selection criteria relating to economic and financial standing, the Applicant confirms that the relevant person or entity is willing to provide a guarantee or other security if required.
FAIL	<p>Where the Applicant is relying on another member of the bidding group/consortium or any subcontractors or other security in order to meet the selection criteria relating to economic and financial standing, the Applicant does not confirm that the relevant person or entity is willing to provide a guarantee or other security if required.</p> <p>The Authority reserves the right to carry out further financial stability evaluation if it deems it necessary, which may involve seeking further information such as details of your accounting model or information concerning any underwritten debt.</p> <p>At the sole discretion of the Authority, the further information provided may be taken into account to assess whether your organisation will PASS the question.</p>

## Section 6 – Technical and professional ability (6.1 - 6.3)

### 6.1

This question requires contract(s) that are relevant to the Authority's requirement. **The Authority's requirements are set out within the ITP and Specifications.**

Examples of contracts relevant to the Authority's requirements may include:

- Contract(s) for Services which are similar in nature to those set out within the Authority's ITP documents, specifically the specification.
- Other contracts or major grants as the Authority sees appropriate.

Applicants may provide:





1. references from other local authorities, e.g. Contract Managers; or in the event that this is not possible, Applicants may provide:
2. references from other public sector bodies, e.g. if you have been contracted by the NHS, ICBs, schools, or universities; or in the event that this is not possible, Applicants may provide:
3. references from the private sector.
4. references from the Authority but only if references from options 1, 2 and 3 are NOT possible.

The relevancy of your contracts to the Authority's requirements will be assessed on the following:

- contracting arrangements (i.e. was the contract performed in the last three years?);
- description of the Services provided under the contract (i.e. are the Services of a similar nature to that detailed in the Authority's ITP?); and
- value of contract.

PASS	The information is complete and the reference(s) which the Authority obtains are satisfactory.
FAIL	<p>If one or more references gives evidence of a failure to deliver the required levels of contract performance it may result in a FAIL.</p> <p>No description(s) provided in response to the question, or the contracts provided are not relevant to the Authority's requirements may result in a FAIL.</p> <p>If it is not possible to validate the accuracy of the information provided or if the accuracy of the information provided is put in significant doubt by the referee this may result in a FAIL.</p> <p>However, the information for the other questions within section 6 will be taken into account by the Authority to assess whether your organisation will PASS the question.</p> <p>Please note that the Authority will check the word-count of your response(s), any words above the word-count will not be evaluated. The word-count applies to each contract, i.e. you have 500 words for Contract 1, 500 words for Contract 2, 500 words for Contract 3.</p>

## 6.2

This question requires an explanation as to why examples cannot be given within 6.1 and how you meet the selection criteria relating to technical and professional ability.

PASS	Explanation not required by virtue of the response given to 6.1, or the explanation provided is satisfactory.
FAIL	<p>No response to the question, or the explanation is not satisfactory.</p> <p>However, the information for the other questions within section 6 will be taken into account by the Authority to assess whether your organisation will PASS the question.</p>

## 6.3

This question requires previous experience of working with subcontractors.



PASS	The evidence submitted is satisfactory, even if limited, or no response due to no subcontracting in proposed delivery model.
FAIL	No description(s) provided in response to the question where the Applicant has stated they would use subcontracting within the delivery model.

## Section 7 – Additional Questions including Project Specific Questions

### 7.1 Insurance

PASS	You either have or can commit to obtain, prior to the commencement of the contract, each of the levels of insurance cover indicated as set out within Question 7.1.
FAIL	You have not got each level of insurance cover as set out within question 7.1 and are not willing to obtain each level of insurance cover if offered a contract.

### 7.2 (Not used)

### 7.3 Health and Safety

#### 7.3 (a)

PASS	The information provided is complete and satisfactory and includes details of existing policy and examples of how risks are controlled. *Note – a response to this question is not applicable if you are an organisation with less than 5 employees
FAIL	The information is not provided, or the information provided is not satisfactory.

### 7.4 (Not used)

### 7.5 Business Dealings within Russia or Belarus

#### 7.5 (a)

PASS	A Response of 'No'.
FAIL	A Response of 'Yes' and information requested has NOT been provided, or a response of 'Yes' and the information provided entitles the Authority, at its sole discretion, to exclude the bid in accordance with current law and guidance.

#### 7.5 (b)

PASS	A Response of 'No.'
FAIL	A Response of 'Yes' and information requested has NOT been provided, or a response of 'Yes' and the information provided entitles the Authority, at its sole discretion, to exclude the bid in accordance with current law and guidance.

#### 7.5 (c)

PASS	A Response of 'No.'
FAIL	A Response of 'Yes' and information requested has NOT been provided, or a response of 'Yes' and the information provided entitles the Authority, at its sole discretion, to exclude the bid in accordance with current law and guidance.





## Award Stage Evaluation:

Prior to commencing the Award Stage Evaluation for each Invitation to Tender, the Authority will first establish that the Services tendered comply with the Specification and meet the requirements stated. If the Services do not comply with the Specification or meet the requirements stated, the bid will be deemed to be non-compliant, and will be withdrawn from the evaluation process.

### **OPTION 1**

Where the Award Criteria stated in the ITT is **Price (100%)**, the scoring methodology is as follows:

The Tender with the lowest Overall Tender Price will receive a Price Score of 100%. The remaining Tenders (which are more expensive) will be awarded a pro-rata score using the following the formula:

$$\text{Lowest Overall Tender Price/Tenderer's Price} * 100 (\% \text{ Weighting for Price})$$

The table below is a **worked example** to illustrate the how the Price Score is reached using the above formula. The prices used in the worked example should not be considered to have any influence on a Tenderer's pricing.

Tenderer	Tender Price	Price Score
A	£110,000.00	88.64%
B	£97,500.00	100.00%
C	£105,000.00	92.86%

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for the combined cost of all line items.

**Or**

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for each individual line item.

### **OPTION 2**

Where the Award Criteria stated in the ITT is **Price (100%) and Quality (Pass / Fail)**, the scoring methodology is as follows:

#### **Part 1: Quality (Pass / Fail)**

**Pass:** The response complies with or meets the Quality requirement specified in the ITT.

**Fail:** The response doesn't comply or meet the Quality requirement specified in the ITT.

Only the bids which Pass the Quality evaluation will be evaluated in Part 2.

#### **Part 2: Price (100%)**



The Tender with the lowest Overall Tender Price will receive a Price Score of 100%. The remaining Tenders (which are more expensive) will be awarded a pro-rata score using the following the formula:

$$\text{Lowest Overall Tender Price/Tenderer's Price} * 100 \text{ (\% Weighting for Price)}$$

The table below is a **worked example** to illustrate the how the Price Score is reached using the above formula. The prices used in the worked example should not be considered to have any influence on a Tenderer's pricing.

Tenderer	Tender Price	Price Score
A	£110,000.00	88.64%
B	£97,500.00	100.00%
C	£105,000.00	92.86%

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for the combined cost of all line items.

**Or**

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for each individual line item.

### **OPTION 3**

Where the Award Criteria stated in the ITT is for any of the Price / Quality combinations shown in the table below, the same scoring methodology will apply to all.

Criterion	Weighting (1)	Weighting (2)	Weighting (3)
Price	80%	70%	60%
Quality	20%	30%	40%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

The worked example given here is for Weighting (1): Price 80% and Quality (20%):

#### **Part 1: Quality (20%)**

The interpretations and scoring methodology that will be used to evaluate the responses given by a Tenderer is as follows:

Score	Assessment
<b>0</b>	<b>Unacceptable:</b> No response or and inadequate response. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement.
<b>1</b>	<b>Poor:</b> Response is partially relevant but generally poor. The response addresses some elements of the requirement but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled.



<b>2</b>	<b>Acceptable:</b> Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but may lack details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas.
<b>3</b>	<b>Good:</b> Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently detailed to demonstrate a good understanding and provides details on how the requirements will be fulfilled.
<b>4</b>	<b>Excellent:</b> Response is completely relevant and excellent overall. The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full.

- Each question will be scored out of 4 and will have a weighted mark.
- Each question has a threshold score whereby should a Tenderer be awarded a score of less than 2 (i.e. not acceptable or poor response), the tender evaluation panel may not complete the rest of the assessment for that Tenderer's submission and the Tenderer may be rejected from the evaluation process. Each member of the tender evaluation panel must agree with the score and the resulting elimination.
- The formula to calculate the Tenderer's Weighted Score for each question is:
  - $\text{Score Awarded} / \text{Maximum Score Available} * \text{Question Weighting}$
- The Weighted Scores awarded for each question are added together to reach the Tenderer's Total Score for Quality.

The table below is a **worked example** to show how a Tenderer is awarded their Total Score for Quality. The number of questions and their weightings are for illustration purposes only.

Question Ref.	Score Awarded (0 to 4 or Pass or Fail)	Maximum Score Available	Question Weighting	Weighted Score (score awarded/maximum score available*question weighting)
Q1	4	4	5%	5.00 (%)
Q2	3	4	15%	11.25 (%)
<b>Tenderer's Total Score for Quality out of 20 (%)</b>				<b>16.25 (%)</b>

### Part 2: Price (80%)

The Tender with the lowest Overall Tender Price will receive a Price Score of 100%. The remaining Tenders (which are more expensive) will be awarded a pro-rata score using the following the formula:

$$\text{Lowest Overall Tender Price} / \text{Tenderer's Price} * 100 (\% \text{ Weighting for Price})$$



The table below is a **worked example** to illustrate the how the Price Score is reached using the above formula. The prices used in the worked example should not be considered to have any influence on a Tenderer's pricing.

Tenderer	Tender Price	Price Score
A	£110,000.00	70.91%
B	£97,500.00	80.00%
C	£105,000.00	74.29%

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for the combined cost of all line items.

**Or**

A Contract (Purchase Order) will be awarded to the Tenderer who achieves the highest Overall Tender Score for each individual line item.

### **TIED BIDS**

In the event of a tie break where two or more Tenderers achieve the same Overall Tender Score the following Award Criteria will apply to those bids:

Where the Award Criteria is a combination of Price and Quality:

- a) In the first instance, the Price Scores achieved by the tied Tenderers will be used to determine the outcome of the tender evaluation. The tied Tenderers will be awarded their final position based on the rank order, from highest to lowest, of the Price Score they achieved.
- b) In the event that any bids remain tied following the application of the Award Criteria set out in Paragraph a), the Authority will invite those Tenderers to resubmit their Price Schedule. The Authority will evaluate the resubmitted pricing schedule in accordance with the methodology in the Price evaluation set out above, and the tied Tenderers will be awarded their final position, from highest to lowest, based on the Price Score they achieve for the resubmitted Price Schedule. This process will be repeated as many times as is necessary until there are no longer any Tenderers with the same Overall Tender Score.

**or**

Where the Award Criteria is Price only:

- a) The Authority will invite the tied Tenderers to resubmit their Price Schedule. The Authority will evaluate the resubmitted pricing schedule in accordance with the methodology in the Price evaluation set out above, and the tied Tenderers will be awarded their final position, from highest to lowest, based on the Price Score they achieve for the resubmitted Price Schedule. This process will be repeated as many times as is necessary until there are no longer any Tenderers with the same Price Score.





