

COVERING LIST OF REPRESENTATIONS, OBJECTORS AND SUPPORTERS

**THE DEFINITIVE MAP AND STATEMENT OF PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY
FOR THE COUNTY OF LANCASHIRE**

**THE LANCASHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
(PUBLIC FOOTPATH FROM HIGHER ROAD TO WELLBROW DRIVE,
LONGRIDGE, RIBBLE VALLEY) DEFINITIVE MAP MODIFICATION ORDER 2017**

No.	OBJECTIONS STILL OUTSTANDING	ADDRESS
1	Mr & Mrs Seed	71 Higher Road Longridge Preston PR3 3SY
2	Anonymous objection from a 'local resident'	
3		
4		
5		

6		
No.	OBJECTIONS WITHDRAWN	
No.	SUPPORTER	
-		
No.	OTHER RESPONSES	

①.

9 November 2017

Ref: ~~LSG4.CB.888.682 (804.582)~~

Ref: LSG4/PROW/CB7/888.682.

Re Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 - Part III
Addition of Public Footpath from Wellbrow Dr to
Higher Rd.

Dear Mr Young

I am writing with objections concerning the
above Act. The references I am using are
from the meeting which was held 25 January
2017 'Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981', File No
804-582.

• 'Ribble Valley Borough Council have no comments
to make' - the Ribble Valley instructed the
closure of the path 2003.

• 'On the day of inspection a vehicle was
parked on the route?' - this is the driveway

②. Ref. LSG4. CB. 888/682 (804.582)

to 71 Higher Road.

- 80 cm wide at the lower end of the path.
Will safety barriers have to be installed to prevent cyclists speeding down the path, and the safety of Mr + Mrs Seed's Great Grandchildren.
- This property dates back to 20 October 1875 when William Strickland bought the land and built houses.
- Between 1906 - 1953 Various ownerships
- 16 March 1954 Wm / Albert Sanderson
(instigator of the path?)
- 28 Oct 1961 - Jane & John Helm.
- 6 December 1968 Wm / MVA Seed bought
the land, 71 and 68 Higher Road.

③ Ref LSG4 .CB. 888/682 (804.582)

Mr & Mrs Seed have lived at 71 Higher Rd for 49 years. They have been responsible for the up keep and maintenance of the drive. At no time has Leicestershire County Council done any maintenance or inspection, other than the time they sprayed weed killer on the instigation of Mr Lovatt and Mr Maxwell (71A Higher Rd.)

(1835-2014)

There was a search made to see whether any record could be found regarding the creation of the route between Higher Road and Wellbrow Drive. No reference to the route being legally created could be found

The historic evidence is that this pathway was not a public right of way. The Ordnance Survey mapping and other map and documentary evidence show that the application of the route did not come into being as a through route until the construction of Wellbrow

④ Ref LSG4. CB. 888/682 (804.582)

Drive.

The Deeds of the property 71 Higher Road
owned by Mr + Mrs Seed need to be
inspected and the legalities of the
ownership of the land and boundaries
need to be accessed.

Yours faithfully.

On behalf of Mr + Mrs Seed 71 Higher Rd.

Telephone 01772 782945.

4 December 2017

Ref LSG4.CB.888.682 (804.582)
Re Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981-Part III
Addition of public footpath from Wellbrow
Drive to Higher Road.
Definitive Map Modification order 2017.

Ref LSG4.CB.888.682 (804.582)

Whether this was the person who has a Venha
against Mr Seed or youths causing trouble
when they knew no-one was around is very
worrying.

Therefore in light of the above, Mainly about
Security and because of the easy access
to trespass and cause trouble we wish
to sustain our objection.

Yours faithfully

Mrs Seed & Mrs Martin

Dear Ms Blundell
Thank you for the letter dated 30 November 2017.

On a personal note which I know has no
concern for you over the situation. While I
am writing this Mr Seed is in critical care
and life support after having surgery for a
kidney/urterer complaint which has worsened
over the year through stress.

After arriving home from hospital I went down
the drive to the pen (allotment/land) to feed
Mr Seed's geese. The main gate had been
opened and left ajar so had the inner gate to
the geese, making a through route for the
geese to escape, luckily they had not.

REF : LSG4/PROW/CB7/888.682

OBJECTION TO THE FOOTPATH FROM HIGHER ROAD TO WELLBROW DRIVE,

I am writing this letter to object to the plans to open the footpath from Higher Road to Wellbrow Drive.

My reasons for the objection are that I feel it could attract youths to congregate in the pathway or at either side. This could be very intimidating for me and many others that walk along Wellbrow Drive on a daily basis. I also feel that this would cause noise for local residents on both sides of the pathway.

I also think and have worries if the pathway was to open it would become a dumping ground for rubbish and some local dog owners I'm sure would take advantage of the dark narrow pathway and let their dogs off the lead and not pick up any dog waste.

Over the years we have had to put up with local idiots driving far too fast along Wellbrow Drive and Higher Road and I really do feel this pathway would attract more noise and be more of a nuisance to home owners on both sides of the pathway than the lack of positives this pathway would give.

My last reason for the objection is the fact if the pathway was to open, who would maintain it? Who would make sure all health and safety procedures are followed? The pathway is far too narrow for it to be classed as an official pathway. I feel the only people that would actually use it would be the points mentioned above. Many thanks...

Local Resident.