COVERING LIST OF REPRESENTATIONS, OBJECTORS AND SUPPORTERS

THE LANCASHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

WILDLIFE AND COUNTRYSIDE ACT 1981

THE DEFINITIVE MAP AND STATEMENT OF PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY FOR THE COUNTY OF LANCASHIRE

PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY (DEFINITIVE MAP MODIFICATION) (NO.6) ORDER 2010

No.	OBJECTIONS STILL OUTSTANDING	ADDRESS
1	Melbourne Social Club & Institute	57 Slyne Road Lancaster LA1 2JH
2	Carl A O'Keeffe	2 Vale Road Lancaster Lancashire LA1 2JL okeeffe@mac.com
No.	OBJECTIONS WITHDRAWN	
-		
No.	SUPPORTER	
-		
No.	OTHER RESPONSES	
-		
No.	OTHER RESPONSES	
	OUTSIDE OF STATUTORY OBJECTION PERIOD	
-		

MELBOURNE SOCIAL CLUB & INSTITUTE

57 Slyne Road Lancaster LA1 2JH Tel: 01524 64863

REF LSQ4/PROW

We The Melbourne Club and residents around us are objecting to the re-opening of the gates to the rear of the club so to make it a public footpath. Several years ago the Club was broke into and burgled and vandalised, we were advised strongly by the police to secure our property by placing gates and keeping them locked. Since we have done this we have had no problems with any vandalism to our property, nor have any of the neighbours in close proximity to the club.

We have looked into the situation and noticed that there is access to the school via a footpath leading from the second alley on Vale Road and along the side of the field leading straight into the school, also there is lighting down this alley, where there is none around the alley leading or at the back of the Melbourne Club. Please note on the photographs we have taken. Also if the gates were reopened it would mean that the children could run straight down the Melbourne driveway onto a busy main road. We feel that to open the gates would give anyone access to either vandalise the club or any surrounding property of our neighbours or even break into the club again. So therefore we feel the gate should remain closed & locked.

Also if the Club was actually someone's house and not a club surely this would not be an issue of being a public footpath. The land actually does belong to the club and there is nothing on the deeds about a public footpath running through the grounds of the property.

Signed on Behalf of the Melboorne Clob by S Burton (cub Secretary)

SBA



2ND ALLEY OFF VALE ROAD STREET LIGHT ON CORNER



2ND ALLEY OFF VALE ROAD STREET LIGHT DOWN THE ALLEY





FOOTPATH OFF 2^{ND} ALLEY LEADING STRAIGHT TO THE SCHOOL. WHICH MEANS THAT THE CHILDREN DON'T EVEN HAVE TO GO ONTO THE MAIN ROAD.



INTO THE SCHOOL YARD



VIEW OF PATH FROM SLYNE ROAD





ALLEY THAT LEADS FROM VALE ROAD TO BACK OF THE MELBOURNE CLUB PLEASE NOT NO LIGHTS. NEITHER IS THERE ANY OUTSIDE LIGHTING AROUND THE CLUB.





THE WIDENED FOOTPATH ON

DRIVEWAY AT THE SIDE OF MELBOURNE

SLYNE ROAD



VIEW FROM MELBOURNE DRIVE WAY ONTO SLYNE ROAD

LETITION ACAINST OFENING GATE'S BEHIND THE MELBOURNECLU! MA A ASTONOON 15 FARCATON COPPHEISHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL 11 11 29 CENTRAL AVE 04 OCT 2010 MR D. TURNER Mr. P. Turver 37 TAN Him Drive. L/c. MAIL DIRECT MRS CHAILES 41, Contral AVIS RAY CORLUSSS A. KNIGHT H. J. 150 6 HEZONSYKE. WE. W. TURNER 28 OXFORD ST UC. Warred A HAILES. 67 VALE RD. HL Audes F. senn S3 Slyne RD L/C De-P. Wyatt. 49, Styre Ro. P Wyatth NYATT 49 SLYNI RD 45 SUNE R) M. COODE Mingood N. Mors 6 VALE RD 10 Vale Rd CA Cos Audi C. Cardwell 18 Vale Rol M POUMER Meran Courson 20 Vale Rd C. GENISON 20 Vale Rd Al. M. Genson Al M Genson J' NUMAU 21, Lynn All Metho P.V. 36 STRATEGRAS CLOSE P. VAIGHAN 49 Richmond Ave P. Stunsfield N. HAINGS 36 NEWBY DRIVE E-PARKIL 49 RICHMOND AV. Elaulin K Ry-20 TRN HILL DRIVE BR. KNOWLES BERROWLO 55. Skyne Ro 43, Style RO K.R Boolt 2 Vale Rd CARL O'KEEFFE



REF: LSG4 / PROW

2 Vale Road Lancaster Lancashire LA1 2JL 01524 312 310 okeeffe@mac.com

September 8, 2010

Ian Fisher
County Secretary & Solicitor
Christ Church Precinct
County Hall
Preston
PR1 8XJ

Dear Mr. Fisher,

I am writing to you with regard to Ref: LSG4 / PROW the proposal that a Public pathway be established between Slyne road and Vale Road, by the opening of a pair of gates behind the Melbourne Club on Slyne Road, my property is No 2 Vale road, my rear garden wall runs straight up to and opposite the proposed public pathway. I am in opposition to the proposal for the following reasons,

- 1. The access along Slyne road has been widened so that school children can walk to the school, also a path has been made further along the alley that accesses the school directly, instead of coming out on a busy road there by removing the need for the alleyway to be opened up for access by school children.
 - 2. The surface of the alleyway is in bad repair, to the degree that

the council haven't issued wheelie bins to residents on vale road as mandatory, because of unsuitable access for the bin men to pull the wheelie bins along, this would make the prospect of school children and parents with prams having to use an unsuitable public pathway as part of their daily commute.

- 3. The alleyway is poorly lit having no source of lighting, meaning that in the winter it becomes a trip hazard for people to use for regular access, which combined with the poor condition of paving in the alleyway would make it a liability in the cases of trips and falls that could happen if it were made a public access.
- 4. The juncture of the alleyways used to provide a meeting place for teenagers, who would drink alcohol and sniff gas and take other drugs, then they would cause damage to surrounding properties, including my own, I believe it was for this reason that the gates were closed.
- 5. The Juncture between the alleyways would also provided would be criminals with three escape routes for planning any thefts from houses and back gardens.
- 6. With the Melbourne club exiting into the alleyway I Have concerns with regards to their patrons leaving the premises of an evening and using the alleyway as a urinal, which has happened in the past causing a health hazard to my children, also discarding their empty bottles over my garden wall causing a sharps hazard in my back garden where my one year old daughter plays, the occurrence of broken glass in my garden has stopped since the gates were put in place.

I think the need for the gates to be opened for a designated public pathway is not required, with the improvements made to other more accessible public and private pathways providing access for all concerned.

If it is deemed that the pathway should be opened I require each of the points outlined be addressed, and answers provided with regard to solutions to these problems.

Sincerely

Cali N All antin